## Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)		
	)	IC Nos.	04-I0103566S
Long Distance Consolidated Billing	)		04-S87481
_	)		04-S88492
Complaints Regarding	)		04-S88583
Unauthorized Change of	)		05-G61634
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier	)		05-S89437
	)		05-S89656
	)		05-S89575
	)		05-S0001155S
	)		05-S0001206S
	)		05-S0188991
	)		05-S0197681
	)		05-S0198445
	)		05-S0208707

## **ORDER**

Adopted: March 23, 2006 Released: March 27, 2006

By the Deputy Chief, Consumer Policy Division, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

- 1. In this Order, we consider complaints¹ alleging that Long Distance Consolidated Billing Company (LDCB) changed Complainants' telecommunications service providers without obtaining authorization and verification from Complainants in violation of the Commission's rules.² We conclude that LDCB's actions did result in unauthorized changes in Complainants' telecommunications service providers and we grant Complainants' complaints.
- 2. In December 1998, the Commission released the *Section 258 Order* in which it adopted rules to implement section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (Act), as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act).<sup>3</sup> Section 258 prohibits the practice of

See Appendix A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

<sup>47</sup> U.S.C. § 258(a); Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-129, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (Section 258 Order), stayed in part, Qwest WorldCom v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); First Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (2000); stay lifted, Qwest WorldCom v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15996 (2000), Errata, DA No. 00-2163 (rel. Sept. 25, 2000), Erratum, DA No. 00-2192 (rel. Oct. 4, 2000), Order, FCC 01-67 (rel. Feb. 22, 2001); Third Order on Reconsideration and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 18 FCC Rcd 5099 (2003); Order, FCC 03-116, (rel. May 23, 2003). Prior to the adoption of Section 258, the Commission had taken various

"slamming," the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber's selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service. In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission adopted aggressive new rules designed to take the profit out of slamming, broadened the scope of the slamming rules to encompass all carriers, and modified its existing requirements for the authorization and verification of preferred carrier changes. The rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur. Pursuant to section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer's preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with one of the Commission's verification procedures. Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of section 64.1130 authorization; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber's order.

- 3. The Commission also has adopted liability rules. These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. In that context, if the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change. Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission's rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150% of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50% of all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier. Carriers should note that our actions in this order do not preclude the Commission from taking additional action, if warranted, pursuant to Section 503 of the Act. 10
  - 4. We received Complainants' complaints alleging that Complainants'

steps to address the slamming problem. See, e.g., Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-129, Report and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 9560 (1995), stayed in part, 11 FCC Rcd 856 (1995); Policies and Rules Concerning Changing Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 91-64, 7 FCC Rcd 1038 (1992), reconsideration denied, 8 FCC Rcd 3215 (1993); Investigation of Access and Divestiture Related Tariffs, CC Docket No. 83-1145, Phase I, 101 F.C.C.2d 911, 101 F.C.C.2d 935, reconsideration denied, 102 F.C.C.2d 503 (1985).

```
<sup>4</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1130.

See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.* 

<sup>9</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See 47 U.S.C. § 503.

telecommunications service providers had been changed from their authorized carriers to LDCB without Complainants' authorization. <sup>11</sup> Pursuant to sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules, <sup>12</sup> we notified LDCB of the complaints and LDCB responded. <sup>13</sup> LDCB indicates that authorizations were received and confirmed through third party verifications. In each case, LDCB's verifier, however, failed to confirm that the person on the call wanted to make the carrier change as required by our rules. <sup>14</sup> LDCB has failed to produce clear and convincing evidence that Complainants authorized carrier changes. <sup>15</sup> Therefore, we find that LDCB's actions resulted in unauthorized changes in Complainants' telecommunications service providers and we discuss LDCB's liability below. <sup>16</sup>

- 5. LDCB must remove all charges incurred for service provided to Complainants for the first thirty days after the alleged unauthorized change in accordance with the Commission's liability rules. We have determined that Complainants are entitled to absolution for the charges incurred during the first thirty days after the unauthorized change occurred and that neither the authorized carrier nor LDCB may pursue any collection against Complainants for those charges. Any charges imposed by LDCB on the subscribers for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscribers to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscribers were paying to the authorized carriers at the time of unauthorized change of telecommunications service provider.
- 6. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaints filed against LDCB ARE GRANTED.
  - 7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to section 64.1170(d) of the

See Appendix A.

<sup>47</sup> C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

See Appendix A. (with respect to IC No. 05-S0205848, we first notified Sprint Communications L.P (Sprint) of its complaint and Sprint responded on December 20, 2005. Based on Sprint's response notification to LDCB of the complaint followed.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c)(3)(iii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150(d).

If a Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of this complaint, such Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to section 1.721 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of such Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to such Complainant. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.719.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1160(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1160(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160.

Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(d), Complainants are entitled to absolution for the charges incurred during the first thirty days after the unauthorized change occurred and neither the authorized carrier not LDCB may pursue any collection against Complainants for those charges.

8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Erica H. McMahon, Chief Consumer Policy Division Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

## APPENDIX A

INFORMAL COMPLAINT NUMBER	DATE OF COMPLAINT	DATE OF RESPONSE	AUTHORIZED CARRIER
04-I0103566S	April 12, 2004	December 9, 2004	MCI, Inc.
04-S87481	June 1, 2004	November 16, 2004	Verizon
04-S88492	September 28, 2004	November 25, 2004	AT&T
04-S88583	October 6, 2004	November 15, 2004	Broadwing Communications
05-G61634	July 29, 2005	August 24, 2005	Sprint
05-S89437	February 23, 2005	June 6, 2005	AT&T
05-S89656	July 5, 2005	July 21, 2005	Verizon
05-S89575	June 6, 2005	August 12, 2005	Sprint
05-S0001155S	August 15, 2005	September 7, 2005	AT&T
05-S0001206S	October 21, 2005	January 6, 2006	Verizon
05-S0188991	August 15, 2005	September 12, 2005	MCI, Inc.
05-S0197681	September 26, 2005	October 18, 2005	AT&T
05-S0198445	October 4, 2005	October 31, 2005	AT&T
05-S0208707	November 1, 2005	January 6, 2006	AT&T